

Please ask for: Dav Telephone: Our reference: Date: 12th

David Clarke

12th April 2019

Dear Chris,

Broadwas and Cotheridge Neighbourhood Development Plan Independent Examination

Thank you for drawing our attention to the revised Basic Condition relating to the Conservation of Habitats and Species and Planning Regulations which came into force on 28 December 2018.

Officers from the District Council and the Parish Council have considered the revision and in our opinion the Broadwas and Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan will meet the revised Basic Condition without further actions in relation to the HRA Screening Opinion being necessary.

The original HRA Screening Opinion for the Draft Broadwas and Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan was prepared prior to the EU Court of Justice ruling in People Over Wind and Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta (Judgement of the Court Seventh Chamber 12 April 2018) and the Court of Justice (Second Chamber) judgement of 25 July 2018 Grace, Sweetman, and National Planning Appeals Board Ireland (ECLI:EU:C2018:593).

Malvern Hills District Council reviewed the HRA Screening Opinion in light of the above judgements and prepared an Addendum to the HRA Screening Opinion in January 2019.

Officers from the District Council and the Parish Council consider that the HRA Screening Opinion for the Broadwas & Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan remains valid and that a HRA Appropriate Assessment is not required for the following reasons:

- (i) The distance of the Neighbourhood Area from internationally designated wildlife sites. There are no internationally designated wildlife sites located within the Broadwas & Cotheridge Neighbourhood Area. For the purposes of the HRA screening assessment, internationally designated wildlife sites that fall within 20km were considered. There is one site identified within this range Lyppard Grange Ponds SAC which is approximately 10km east of the Broadwas & Cotheridge Neighbourhood Area.
- (ii) The HRA screening assessment does not seek to take account of any measures intended to reduce or avoid any harmful effects of the Plan on any European site, in part due to the fact that the Neighbourhood Plan does not propose any development sites.

In December 2018 the District Council wrote to Natural England seeking their advice on whether any further action would be required as a result of the People over Wind judgements in relation to the Broadwas & Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan.

In an email dated 19th December 2018 (see letter below), Natural England advised that they do not consider the People over Wind judgements to be relevant to the Broadwas and Cotheridge

Chief Executive: Jack Hegarty

www.malvernhills.gov.uk

Neighbourhood Plan because, as far as they are aware, the Neighbourhood Plan will not result in likely significant effects on European sites. The Habitat Regulations Assessment's for the Neighbourhood Plan does not rely on mitigation in order to reach the conclusion of no likely significant effects and therefore the People over Wind judgements do not come into consideration.

In relation to the revised Basic Condition, our understanding is that the revision allows neighbourhood plans that require appropriate assessment to progress provided that the requirements of Chapter 8 of Part 6 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 are met. Since the HRA Screening Opinion for the Broadwas and Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan does not rely on mitigation to reach the conclusion of no likely significant effects, the District Council and Parish Council consider that the Broadwas and Cotheridge Neighbourhood Plan will meet the revised Basic Condition without further actions being necessary.

Yours sincerely,

David Clarke

David Clarke Planning Officer

Date: 19 December 2018 Our ref: 267081 Your ref: None

David Clarke Planning Officer Malvem Hills District Council david.clarke@malvernhills.gov.uk

BY EMAIL ONLY



Customer Services Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

Dear Mr Clarke

Review of HRA Screening Assessments in light of the People over Wind judgement: Malvern Town Neighbourhood Development Plan Broadwas & Cotheridge Neighbourhood Development Plan

Thank you for your correspondence, dated 10 December and today.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

I am writing to confirm the situation regarding the recent 'People over Wind' judgement and its relevance to the Malvern Town NDP and the Broadwas & Cotheridge NDP. However, should the same question arise in relation to any other NDP's in the District then the advice given here would apply, unless there are any additional considerations of which I am currently unaware.

The People over Wind judgement

In April 2018, a judgment was handed down by the Court of Justice of the European Union ('the CJEU') which provides further authoritative interpretation of the Habitats Directive. People over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte (Case C-323/17 People Over Wind v Coillte Teoranta).

The CJEU's judgment states that mitigation measures cannot be taken into account when deciding whether a plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on a European site. Rather, a competent authority must take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of a plan or project as part of the appropriate assessment. Only then can a conclusion be drawn as to whether the plan or project will have an adverse effect on the integrity of the site.

This is particularly pertinent with regard to Neighbourhood Plans, as there is a basic condition in the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (2012) which states that the making of the neighbourhood plan is not likely to have a significant effect on a European site (paragraph 1, Schedule 2 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012). This means that Neighbourhood Plans cannot proceed to the appropriate assessment stage of the Habitat Regulations Assessment process.

Relevance of the People over Wind judgement to Neighbourhood Development Plans in Malvern Hills District

Natural England advises that we do not consider the People over Wind judgement to be relevant to NDP's in the Malvern Hills District. As far as we are aware, none of the NDP's in the Malvern Hills District result in likely significant effects on European sites. The Habitat Regulations Assessment's

for the NDP's do not rely on mitigation in order to reach their conclusion of *no likely significant effects*; therefore the People over Wind judgement does not come into consideration.

Changes to the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (2012) to allow Neighbourhood Plans to proceed to the Appropriate Assessment stage of the Habitat Regulations Assessment process

For your information, I understand that the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government has received ministerial approval to amend legislation to allow certain development orders, neighbourhood plans and permission in principle to progress to appropriate assessment and allow any mitigation measures needed to be fully considered. These changes will be laid before parliament on 7 December 2018, coming into force on 28 December 2018. Supporting guidance will be made available as part of Planning Practice Guidance.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter <u>only</u> please contact Hayley Fleming on . For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to <u>consultations@naturalengland.org.uk</u>.

Yours sincerely

Hayley Fleming Casework Manager - Planning West Midlands Area Team